



*Can shareholder-owned corporations maximize profits without harming their stakeholders?*

**Jill Brown, Bentley University:**



**HECK YES!!**

# CAN SHAREHOLDER-OWNED CORPORATIONS CAN MAXIMIZE PROFITS WITHOUT HARMING THEIR STAKEHOLDERS?



**BENTLEY**  
UNIVERSITY

## Claim 1

This question leads one to think of “maximizing profits” with a **shareholder primacy orientation**...I explain how businesses have evolved beyond this, particularly when looking at “maximizing profits” more broadly. This is an *instrumental perspective*

## Claim 2

**Businesses are conditioned to avoid harming stakeholders**...I outline the business level constructs that support this. *This is both instrumental and normative in perspective.*

## Claim 3

Combining these two ideas...I argue that maximizing profits/value is not at odds with stakeholders’ interests...in fact, **firms with the best stakeholder relations offer higher overall value.**

# #1: SHAREHOLDER PRIMACY IS IN THE REAR VIEW MIRROR



**BENTLEY**  
UNIVERSITY

## The arguments

1. Shareholders do not own the corporation and companies understand that today
  - a. From legal, economic perspectives
  - b. The firm as a nexus of contracts
  - c. Team-based corporate production
2. There is evidence that this is in the rear view mirror:
  - a. 2019 by the BRT Statement
  - b. Similar evolutions in asset management arena and ESG
  - c. Even Michael Jensen stepping back from shareholder primacy...!
  - d. Even Adam Smith believed in stakeholder fairness of exchange
  - e. Caveat: Walking the talk...

## The support

1. Stout, L. A. (2001). Bad and not-so-bad arguments for shareholder primacy. *S. Cal. L. Rev.*, 75, 1189.
2. “Business Roundtable Redefines the Purpose of the Corporation to Promote ‘An Economy that Serves All Americans, Principles of Corporate Governance”  
<https://www.businessroundtable.org/business-roundtable-redefines-the-purpose-of-a-corporation-to-promote-an-economy-that-serves-all-americans>
3. Fishing with Dynamite  
<https://news.darden.virginia.edu/2019/10/25/new-documentary-fishing-with-dynamite/>
4. Brown, J. A., & Forster, W. R. (2013). CSR and stakeholder theory: A tale of Adam Smith. *Journal of business ethics*, 112(2), 301-312.
5. Brown, J. “Will a Global Pandemic and Recession Hit the Reset Button for Corporate Governance and Business/Stakeholder Relationships?”  
<https://sim.aom.org/covid-19-insights>

# #2: FIRMS ARE CONDITIONED TO AVOID HARMING STAKEHOLDERS



**BENTLEY**  
UNIVERSITY

## The arguments

1. There are risk management benefits to multi-stakeholder orientation
  - a. Creation of value
  - b. Win-win
2. Legitimacy and social judgments are too risky
  - a. Backlash beyond primary stakeholders
  - b. BP example
3. It is too hard to recapture stakeholder support once lost
  - a. It requires a lot of goodwill
  - b. It depends on level of moral salience of the harm
4. Evidence from institutional shareholders
  - a. Stakeholder activism

## The support

1. Barnett, M.L. and Hoffman, A.J. (2008) "Beyond Corporate Reputation: Managing Reputational Interdependence." *Corporate Reputation Review*, 11: 1-9
2. Bitektine, A. (2011). Toward a theory of social judgments of organizations: The case of legitimacy, reputation, and status. *Academy of management review*, 36(1), 151-179.
3. Brown, J., Buchholtz, A. and Dunn, P. (2016) "Moral Salience and the Role of Goodwill in Firm-Stakeholder Relations," *Business Ethics Quarterly*
4. Rehbein, K., Waddock, S., & Graves, S. B. (2004). Understanding shareholder activism: Which corporations are targeted? *Business & Society*, 43(3), 239-267.
5. Godfrey, P., Merrill, C & Hansen, J. (2009). The relationship between CSR and shareholder value: An empirical test of the risk management hypothesis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(4), 425-445.

# #3: FIRMS WITH THE BEST STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS OFFER HIGHER OVERALL VALUE



**BENTLEY**  
UNIVERSITY

## The arguments

1. Even hard-core strategists concede that firms must consider a stakeholder perspective to capture higher rents
  - a. RBV view
2. The creation of rent is directly associated with perceptions of fairness among stakeholders
  - a. Reciprocity
  - b. Trust
3. There is parallel to the business case for CSR
  - a. Economic profitability measures the effectiveness of business activities
  - b. It ensures the future supply of capital
  - c. Responsibility-profitability connection
  - d. Therefore treating stakeholders with fairness and reciprocity will improve the bottom line.
4. Managing for stakeholders creates higher overall value

## The support

1. Barney, J. B. (2018). Why resource-based theory's model of profit appropriation must incorporate a stakeholder perspective. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(13), 3305-3325.
2. Bosse, D. A., Phillips, R. A., & Harrison, J. S. (2009). Stakeholders, reciprocity, and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(4), 447-456.
3. Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International journal of management reviews*, 12(1), 85-105.
4. Harrison, J. S., Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. (2010). Managing for stakeholders, stakeholder utility functions, and competitive advantage. *Strategic management journal*, 31(1), 58-74.



# TAKEAWAY

Firms are motivated – both implicitly and explicitly – to treat their stakeholders well under the (almost unattainable goal) of maximizing profits.

“..We cannot choose between growth and sustainability — we must have both.”

Paul Polman, Unilever

It does not have to be tradeoff: Shareholder-owner corporations can maximize profits without harming stakeholders